UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,772	04/04/2001	George J. Chanos	CHANOS.001A	3658
20995 7590 07/21/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			MYHRE, JAMES W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3688	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/825,772	CHANOS, GEORGE J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES W. MYHRE	3688
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 30-45 and 55-60 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrases 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 30-45 and 55-60 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 09/825,772 Page 2

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 28, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The Amendment submitted on May 28, 2009 has been entered. The amendment did not add nor delete any claims, but amended Claims 30 and 55. Thus, Claims 30-45 and 55-60 remain pending and have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 30-45 and 55-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reisman (5,694,546) in view of Solomon et al (6,847,935).

Examiner's Note. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "consumer information" throughout the claims is used by the claims to mean "information about consumer products" (Claim 30, lines 1-2) while the accepted meaning is "information about consumers." The Examiner will use "product information" when referring to the claimed consumer information in the discussions below.

Claims 30, 55, 57, and 58: Reisman discloses a method for providing consumers with product information, comprising:

- a. receiving a selection of a product available for purchase from the consumer (original/containing information product)(column 11, lines 5-16 and column 26, lines 13-27);
- b. providing to the consumer one or more subscription offers organized by subject areas and including a price of the product (column 11, lines 26-38; column 20, lines 10-18; column 21, lines 20-25; column 25, lines 59-62; and column 26, lines 13-27);

- c. receiving a selection from the consumer of one or more of the subscription offers wherein at least one of the subscription offers include a price or price range the consumer will pay for the product (Column 11, lines 26-38; column 18, lines 30-32; column 20, lines 10-18; column 21, lines 20-25); (The Examiner notes that when a consumer accepts an offer that includes a price, then the price inherently must be one that the consumer is willing to pay.) and
- d. formatting deliverables to be sent to the consumer according to the subscription including the product information upon meeting the conditions of the subscription (column 11, lines 39-54 and column 18, lines 30-32),

Reisman further discloses the consumer designating delivery parameters for the subscription to include how the information is to be delivered and what portion of the information is to be delivered (column 14, lines 35-63 and column 21, lines 59-65).

While Reisman does not explicitly disclose the condition of the subscription include an opportunity to purchase the product at or below said price (e.g. at a discount or rebate price), Solomon discloses a similar method for providing consumers with product information (column 3, lines 59-63, column 8, lines 17-30; and column 11, lines 40-45) in which the consumer, upon selecting and completing a subscribing action such as completing a rebate form or purchasing a supplement product (Figure 9; column 8, lines 36-42; column 10, lines 56-64; and column 12, lines 3-16), receives a rebate on the original product and that the rebate may be disburses to the consumer in several ways to include a "product discount" or debit transaction to the consumer's credit card,

Art Unit: 3688

i.e. effectively reducing the cost of the original product (column 5, lines 40-47 and column 10, lines 21-25)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for <u>Reisman</u> to give the consumer a discount (rebate) on the cost of the original product upon selecting one the subscription offers. One would have been motivated to give the consumer a reduced price on the original product in order to entice the consumer to accept one or more of the subscriptions, especially when <u>Reisman</u> discloses that the subscription offer could be for informational product pertaining to the original product, e.g. updates, current news reports, etc.

Claims 31 and 56: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claims 30 and 55 above, and Reisman further discloses that the product is a service (e.g. electronic newspaper or television service)(column 11, lines 5-16 and column 25, lines 42-65).

Claim 32: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses receiving search constraints including company, brand, product, or service (column 11, lines 7-29).

Claim 33: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses receiving search criteria and returning the search results (column 12, lines 7-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/825,772

Art Unit: 3688

Claim 34: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses receiving selection of topic and subtopic information (column 12, lines 7-29).

Page 6

Claim 35: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses that the product could be stored on a CD-ROM that is delivered to the consumer who then telephones in the product code to start the interactive method to retrieve the subscription offers (column 22, line 66 – column 23, line 18). While Reisman does not explicitly disclose that the code is a barcode read by the consumer's device, Solomon discloses using such barcodes to identify the product (column 8, lines 17-42). The Examiner also notes that many types of consumer products have had the capability of scanning barcodes, such as cell phones, barcode readers attached to the consumer's desktop computer, handheld barcode scanners, etc. Such barcode scanners have been used in the Patent Office by examiners to scan the barcodes of applications (e.g. information products) since the mid1990's when the instant examiner arrived in the Office. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Reisman to identify the product with a barcode and to require the consumer to enter the barcode when identifying the product for which the rebate pertains. One would have been motivated to use a barcode to identify the product in order to reduce data entry errors and in view of the ubiquitous use of barcodes on products for just that reason.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,772

Art Unit: 3688

Claim 36: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 35 above, and Reisman further discloses that the consumer device is a personal digital assistant or mobile phone (column 10, lines 44-52; column 14, lines 6-67; column 28, lines 60-67; and column 29, lines 11-20).

Claim 37: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses the product information includes dynamic information, e.g. news articles (column 25, lines 59-65).

Claim 38: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses the consumer specifies the subject area, such as frequency of delivery, a delivery schedule, a delivery when the product information reaches a threshold, a delivery date range, and action by a supplier, or an action by the consumer (column 11, lines 44-49 and column 13, lines 64-66).

Claim 39: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman discloses the subject area comprises: discounts, comparison, new releases, general information, catalogs, or special offers (column 11, lines 26-38).

Claim 40: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses the subject area comprise request services (column 11, lines 26-38).

Art Unit: 3688

Claim 41: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses the deliverables include an email, a mail message, a page, a telephone call, and telephone message, a mobile phone call, a mobile phone message, or a message to the consumer computer device (column 14, lines 35-40).

Claim 42: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses the deliverables allow the consumer to purchase the product (column 21, lines 39-53 and column 26, lines 22-27).

Claims 43 and 44: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses the deliverables allow the consumer to access additional online information (e.g. updated news stories) from a vendor of the product (newspaper)(column 14, lines 35-40).

Claim 45: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 1 above, and Reisman further discloses the deliverables allow the consumer to select one or more subject areas (column 21, lines 20-25).

Claims 59 and 60: Reisman and Solomon disclose a method as in Claim 30 above, and Reisman further discloses gathering the product information from the provider of the product (column 19, line 63 - column 20, line 1). While it is not explicitly disclosed that

the provider will be asked for consent to provide the product information to the consumer, it would have been obvious for Reisman to do so. Many products have proprietary information and/or trade secrets that the provider would not desire to release to everybody, but that the provider would agree to release to certain subscribers, purchasers, and others with a need-to-know. Thus, one would have been motivated to request approval from the product provider prior to releasing the product information to the consumer in order to provide assurance to the product provider that only authorized information was being released only to authorized consumers.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30-45 and 55-60 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. <u>Mittal</u> (6,233,609) discloses a method for providing a consumer with product information offers pertaining to a product the consumer wishes to purchase.
- b. Ng (6,405,175) discloses a method for rewarding shoppers who provide or use information about the product. A consumer can search for a product through a hierarchy of topics to locate a plurality of information offers from which the consumer may choose one or more to access.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,772 Page 10

Art Unit: 3688

c. <u>Cheng et al</u> (6,542,943) discloses a method for automatically updating a software product on a client system in which the consumer is presented a list of pertinent updates (information offers) pertaining to the product identified by the consumer and may select one or more of the offers for downloading.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES W. MYHRE whose telephone number is (571)272-6722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,772 Page 11

Art Unit: 3688

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JWM July 16, 2009

/James W Myhre/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688